

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/726,685	12/04/2003	Keisuke Ban	02410265D1	5670	
7:	590 05/04/2004		EXAMINER		
McGuireWoods LLP			LIN, KUANG Y		
Suite 1800 1750 Tysons Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	Tysons Corner			1725	
McLean, VA 22102-4215			DATE MAILED: 05/04/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/726,685	BAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Kuang Y. Lin	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	·SS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			•			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	ici Ex parte Quayre, 1000 O.	D. 11, 400 O.O. 210.				
Disposition of Claims	··					
 4)⊠ Claim(s) 10-18 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	idiawii nom consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	·		* *			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	aanta haya haan raasiyad		•			
1.		Application No. 10/115 1/1				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		· ·	age			
application from the International Bu	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	ot received.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date	, <u> </u>	Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	(2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/726,685 Page 2

Art Unit: 1725

1. Claims 11 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim11, the meaning between lines 3 and 4 are not understandable. In claim 18, it is not clear what further structural limitation is claimed since it involves a method limitation in an apparatus claim without further provide any structure element for the apparatus.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Butler et al.
 In figure 10 of Butler the feeder sleeve 87 is located between the sprue element
 84 and mold cavity 82.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

Application/Control Number: 10/726,685

Art Unit: 1725

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Butler et al.

With respect to claim 16, the feeder sleeve of Butler appears to be detachable from the cavity portion of the mold though it does not disclose that feature. Also, with respect to claim 18, it would have been obvious to use an appropriate refractory material for making feeder sleeve 87 such that to obtain a designated difference of cooling rate between the molten metal filled in the feeder sleeve portion and that of molten metal filled in the terminal portion of the cavity during the solidification process.

7. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bulter et al and further in view of Gotheridge et al.

Gotheridge teaches to provide an insulating layer adjacent to the thin section of the mold cavity such that to prevent the section from premature solidification.

The embodiment of Gotheridge has the advantage of reducing the heat loss from the thin section and hence assure an even feed and directional solidification.

Gotheridige further teach to provide the insulating layer which is inert to the

Application/Control Number: 10/726,685

Art Unit: 1725

molten metal. It would have been obvious to provide the mold of Bulter with the insulating layer of Gotheridge shall a thin section exist in the mold cavity.

8. Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bulter et al in view of Gotheridge et al as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Wittmoser et al.

Wittmoser et al show to provide an insulating layer with a coating process. It would have been obvious to provide the insulating layer of Gothridage with the coating process of Wittmoser et al if the insulating material is in slurry state.

9. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Butler et al and further in view of Khandros et al.

It would have been obvious to provide the mold of Butler with the passage 17 of Khandros if a controlling atmosphere is needed.

- 10. The patent to Nieman et al, Brown et al and Kawamura et al are cited to further show the state of the art.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuang Y. Lin whose telephone number is 571-272-1179. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00-6:30,.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas X Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/726,685

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1725

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Kuang Y. Lin

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725

4-29-04